

ScienceGuardians Guidelines for Authorship Change during the Revision Stage: New Author Inclusion

Cite this protocol as: ScienceGuardians Guidelines for Authorship Change during the Revision Stage, New Author Inclusion, Review Paper, Decision-Making Process, Doc. No.: SG-Rev-24-02-01.

©2024 ScienceGuardians (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

www.scienceguardians.com

Authorship Change during the Revision Stage: New Author Inclusion

Review Paper
Post Peer-review & Upon Receiving an Editorial Decision

Decision-Making Process Doc. No.: SG-Rev-24-02-01

The Steps to the **Decision-Making Process** by Authors to Establish the
Necessity of Including New Author(s)
During the Revision Process of a
Review Article Without Exposing
them to Ethical and Legal
Misconducts.




Evaluation of editorial and reviewers` comments

All co-authors are advised to convene to collectively review the decision and the editorial and reviewers` comments.

The comments require major revisions including additional disciplines/contents/ analyses/resources?



It is not an advisable practice to include new author(s).





Are the original authors capable of addressing the requested major revisions including additional disciplines/ contents/analyses/ resources?



It is not the best practice to include new author(s).

While adding new author(s) under such circumstances could be justifiable and is unlikely to expose the authors to any legal liabilities in the future, but it is not ethically advisable.



Inclusion of new author(s) is necessary and is classified as the best practice.



Implementation Process
Doc. No.: SG-Rev-24-02-02